



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/462,615   | 01/10/2000    | YASUSHI KATSUMATA    | 7246/58772          | 5312            |
| 7590 10/28/2004  |               | EXAMINER             |                     |                 |
| JAY H MAIO   | <del></del> - | SEAL, JAMES          |                     |                 |
| COOPER & DUNHAM<br>1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS<br>NEW YORK, NY 10036 |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |               |                      | 2135                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/462,615  | KATSUMATA ET AL   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | James Seal  | 2135  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.       |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three modern and the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI<br>te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extention; or ( | extension fee<br>ension fee under<br>(2) as set forth in |  |  |  |  |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:   |   | :  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: The proposed changes in Claims 1, 14, 2  | ?7 require further consideration and  | <u>search</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection  | tion(s):  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a s  | eparate, timely filed   | amendment  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:  |   | sidered but does NO   | T place the  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which wer   | re newly   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |   |   | and an   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-37.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s) /   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   | KIM VU  | NA   |  |  |  |  |
|  | TECH'N  | ORY PATENT EXAMI<br>OLOGY CENTER 210  | 0  |  |  |  |  |